

>From:  
>To: "  
>Subject: Fw: Interview with the Radiologist Professor Dr. Eckel from  
>the Bundes rztekammer  
>Date: Wed, 31 Jan 2007 23:47:24 -0500  
>  
>  
> Have you seen this report from Germany?  
>  
>Radiologist Professor Dr. Eckel from the Bundes rztekammer is now  
>scientific adviser to hese-uk, for link see below. Please circulate.  
>13-01-2007  
>  
>  
>The interview given below was published by the `Schwabischen Post` on  
>7.12.06.  
>  
> In H ttlingen, a community near to Ellwangen, the residents are,  
>just as  
>in other places, fighting against a planned mobile telecommunications  
>transmitter.  
>  
>  
>MOBILTELECOMMUNICATIONS / Interview with the Radiologist Professor Dr.  
>Eckel from the Bundes rztekammer (equivalent to the BMA in the UK)  
>  
>"The cell nucleus is mutating  
>Professor Dr. Heyo Eckel is a radiation expert. He is a radiologist,  
>lecturer at G ttingen University, vice chairman of the Health and  
>Environment Committee of the German Medical Association [Ausschusses  
>Gesundheit und Umwelt der Bundes rztekammer], Chairman of the  
>Niedersachsen province charity for "Chernobyl Children". And because he  
>also still has family connections in H ttlingen, we spoke with him  
>about electromagnetic radiation.  
>  
>BY MARKUS LEHMANN H TTLINGEN/G TTINGEN  
>  
>  
>For Radiologists there are two areas: the scientific-formal-legal and  
>the  
>emotional.  
>  
> His scientific conclusion: Electromagnetic, pulsed waves from  
>transmitter  
>masts and mobile phones affect and deform the cell nucleus. Comparable with  
>those of X-rays. As long as the harmlessness of mobile telecommunications  
>is not proven, everything must be done to protect the population against  
>potential health damage.  
>  
>  
>Are electromagnetic waves dangerous for humans?  
> These waves deform and damage the cell nucleus. That is proven and

>has

>resulted in experiments "in vitro" (in laboratory studies). The cell

>nucleus can also mutate as a result of natural occurrences. However, one

>has no control over that. But changes due to the influence from

>electromagnetic waves are definitely documented.

>

>And this technology is deployed across the country?

>According to the present state of scientific knowledge there is no

>alarming

>health risk. Out of the many thousand of reports, there are only 400 to

>500, which comply with purely scientific protocol and thus must be taken

>seriously. But one must consider: The mobile telecommunications technology

>is still relatively new, but yet it is now deployed across the whole

>country. Consequential damage is hard to ascertain, not yet and maybe only

>after years. Like in bygone days with X-Ray radiation.

>

>

>

>You are also involved in the Chernobyl problem.....

>

>

>

>Yes. And the injuries that result from radioactive radiation are

>identical

>with the effects of electromagnetic radiation. The damages are so similar

>that they are hard to differentiate

>

>.

>

>So you are saying, that there is a potential or suspected danger. What

>is

>your suggestion?

>One must act politically. The politicians refer constantly to safe limits.

>There must be an agreement with the industry on a minimum distance from

>base stations, as in Switzerland,. Above all there must be further research

>on how these electromagnetic waves effect humans. This radiation does not

>taste, it does not smell. And one does not hear it. It is not discernable

>through our senses. And, that s why people are afraid of it.

>

>What do you advise citizens who have fears about a transmitter in their

>vicinity ?

> Legally, one cannot do much. One can advise, that people unite

>together.

>In order to exert pressure - moral - pressure on the local politicians, the

>provincial and federal government politicians. Because they have a duty of

>care to avert presumed or perceived damage to citizens.

>

>

>

>